UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

20985

7590

07/28/2008

FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 EXAMINER
PHU, PHUONG M
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2611

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2008

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053.490	10/26/2001	Kobby Pick	10559-454001/P10771	3410

TITLE OF INVENTION: METRIC CORRECTION FOR MULTI USER DETECTION, FOR LONG CODES DS-CDMA

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1440	\$300	\$0	\$1740	10/28/2008

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or <u>Fax</u> (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where

appropriate. All further indicated unless correcte maintenance fee notificat	correspondence including descriptions	g the Patent, advance or erwise in Block 1, by (a	rders and notification of n a) specifying a new corres	naintenance fees will pondence address; a	I be mailed to the curren nd/or (b) indicating a sep	nt correspondence address as parate "FEE ADDRESS" for
CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address)				s) Transmittal. This (rs. Each additional p	certificate cannot be used	for domestic mailings of the for any other accompanying nent or formal drawing, must
20985	7590 07/28/	2008	nave		· ·	
FISH & RICHA P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS	ARDSON, PC , MN 55440-1022		I hei State addr trans	reby certify that this es Postal Service wit essed to the Mail Semitted to the USPTC	icate of Mailing or Trans Fee(s) Transmittal is bein th sufficient postage for fi Stop ISSUE FEE address O (571) 273-2885, on the	ismission ing deposited with the United rst class mail in an envelope s above, or being facsimile date indicated below.
						(Depositor's name)
						(Signature)
						(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,490	10/26/2001		Kobby Pick	<u> </u>	10559-454001/P10771	3410
		ISSUE FEE DUE	DETECTION, FOR LONG	PREV. PAID ISSUE I		E DATE DUE
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY					
nonprovisional	NO	\$1440	\$300	\$0	\$1740	10/28/2008
EXAM	INER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS			
PHU, PHU 1. Change of corresponde		2611	375-148000			
"Fee Address" indi PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-0 Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME ADDRESSE NOTE: Unl	ess an assignee is identi n in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp	Indication form ed. Use of a Customer TO BE PRINTED ON The field below, no assignee	(1) the names of up to or agents OR, alternativ (2) the name of a single registered attorney or a 2 registered patent attorlisted, no name will be THE PATENT (print or typ data will appear on the paT a substitute for filing an a (B) RESIDENCE: (CITY	ely, e firm (having as a n gent) and the names neys or agents. If no printed. e) ttent. If an assignee assignment.	nember a 2of up to a 3is identified below, the	document has been filed for
4a. The following fee(s) a Issue Fee Publication Fee (N		4b ermitted)	inted on the patent): D. Payment of Fee(s): (Plea A check is enclosed. Payment by credit care The Director is hereby overpayment, to Depo	se first reapply any d. Form PTO-2038 if authorized to charge	previously paid issue fees sattached.	leficiency, or credit any
••	s SMALL ENTITY statu	s. See 37 CFR 1.27.	☐ b. Applicant is no long	ger claiming SMALL	ENTITY status. See 37 C	
nterest as shown by the r	records of the United Stat	tes Patent and Trademark	Office.	rr		the assignee or other party in
Authorized Signature				Date		
Typed or printed name						
This collection of informan application. Confident submitting the completed his form and/or suggesting V450. Alexandria. V	ation is required by 37 C iality is governed by 35 I application form to the ons for reducing this bur irginia 22313-1450. DO	FR 1.311. The informatic U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary den, should be sent to the NOT SEND FEES OR (on is required to obtain or re 1.14. This collection is est depending upon the indiv e Chief Information Office COMPLETED FORMS TO	etain a benefit by the imated to take 12 mi idual case. Any com r, U.S. Patent and Tr D THIS ADDRESS.	public which is to file (an nutes to complete, includ- ments on the amount of to ademark Office, U.S. De SEND TO: Commissione	nd by the USPTO to process) ing gathering, preparing, and ime you require to complete partment of Commerce, P.O. r for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,490	10/26/2001	Kobby Pick	10559-454001/P10771	3410	
20985 7	590 07/28/2008		EXAM	INER	
FISH & RICHA	RDSON, PC	PHU, PHUONG M			
P.O. BOX 1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS,	MN 55440-1022		2611		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2008		

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 912 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 912 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 (571)-272-4200.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/053,490	PICK ET AL.				
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuong Phu	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31:	6 (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap) or other appropriate communicatio RIGHTS. This application is subject t	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS				
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the Amendment filed</u>	<u>I on 7/1/08</u> .					
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-20 and 22-28.						
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ocuments have been received in this	national stage application from the				
* Certified copies not received:						
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submin INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	MENT of this application. nitted. Note the attached EXAMINER	R'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF				
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu	· · ·					
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper		-948) attached				
	•	540) attached				
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in						
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT						
Attachment(s)	5 Notice of Informal I	Potent Application				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	5. Notice of Informal I					
	6.	ite .				
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 	7. 🗌 Examiner's Amend	ment/Comment				
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Statem	ent of Reasons for Allowance				
	9.					

Application/Control Number: 10/053,490 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 7/01/08. Accordingly, claims 1-20 and 22-28 are currently pending.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 2. Claims 1-20 and 22-28 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

-Regarding independent claim 1, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a method of normalizing an output of a receiver, as claimed. Gonzalez et al (2002/0181624), in view of Sriram et al (6,754,251) and Miller et al (5,930,231), (all previously cited) teaches the claimed method except, at least, neither one or any combination or them teaches that the method comprises a procedure of determining a normalization factor using a determined variance of multiple access interference, wherein as remarked in section "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter", pages 2 and 3, of the Appeal Brief filed on 4/18/07, and in light of the Specification, pages, lines 1-3, 9-11, page 6, line 20 to page 8, line 8), the variance of multiple access interference is defined or specified in such a way that in direct sequence spread spectrum transmission, a stream of information is divided into small pieces, each of which is allocated across the spectrum to a different signature sequence over the same frequency channel, these allocations, with multiple users, resulting in the multiple access interference which is assumed to be a white Gaussian process and whose variance is calculated at least based on cross correlation between spreading sequences, multiple-user-detection weight vectors and channel taps estimation. In the method of Gonzalez et al in view of Sriram et al and Miller et al, the normalization factor is determined by using a determined variance of noise and/or interference;

Application/Control Number: 10/053,490

Art Unit: 2611

however, the variance is not defined or specified as mentioned above for the variance of multiple access interference of the claimed invention. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Gonzalez et al in view of Sriram et al and Miller et al, in further view of other prior art of record, for leading such the implementation to the claimed invention.

Page 3

-Regarding independent claim 9, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a receiver, as claimed. Gonzalez et al in view of Sriram et al and Miller et al teaches the claimed receiver except, at least, neither one or any combination or them teaches that the receiver performs a normalization based on a determined variance of multiple access interference, wherein as remarked in section "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter", pages 2 and 3, of the Appeal Brief filed on 4/18/07, and in light of the Specification, pages, lines 1-3, 9-11, page 6, line 20 to page 8, line 8), the variance of multiple access interference is defined or specified in such a way that in direct sequence spread spectrum transmission, a stream of information is divided into small pieces, each of which is allocated across the spectrum to a different signature sequence over the same frequency channel, these allocations, with multiple users, resulting in the multiple access interference which is assumed to be a white Gaussian process and whose variance is calculated at least based on cross correlation between spreading sequences, multipleuser-detection weight vectors and channel taps estimation. In the receiver of Gonzalez et al in view of Sriram et al and Miller et al, the normalization is performed based on a determined variance of noise and/or interference; however, the variance is not defined or specified as mentioned above for the variance of multiple access interference of the claimed invention. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Gonzalez et al in view of

Art Unit: 2611

Sriram et al and Miller et al, in further view of other prior art of record, for leading such the implementation to the claimed invention.

-Regarding independent claim 19, none of prior art of record a method comprising procedures of method comprising: receiving one or more output signals from a detector; determining a normalization factor for each of the one or more output symbols, each normalization factor being independent of normalization factors for previous output symbols; and multiplying each of the one or more output symbols by the corresponding normalization factor to obtain a metric correction, wherein the normalization factor of a desired symbol is determined based on the detector output of the desired symbol, the time varying gain associated with the desired symbol and the total noise variance.

-Regarding independent claim 24, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a method, as claimed. Gonzalez et al in view of Sriram et al and Miller et al teaches the claimed method except, at least, neither one or any combination or them teaches that the method comprises a procedure of determining a normalization factor using a determined variance of multiple access interference, wherein as remarked in section "Summary of Claimed Subject Matter", pages 2 and 4, of the Appeal Brief filed on 4/18/07, and in light of the Specification, pages, lines 1-3, 9-11, page 6, line 20 to page 8, line 8), the variance of multiple access interference is defined or specified in such a way that in direct sequence spread spectrum transmission, a stream of information is divided into small pieces, each of which is allocated across the spectrum to a different signature sequence over the same frequency channel, these allocations, with multiple users, resulting in the multiple access interference which is assumed to be a white Gaussian process and whose variance is calculated at least based on cross correlation

Art Unit: 2611

between spreading sequences, multiple-user-detection weight vectors and channel taps estimation. In the method of Gonzalez et al in view of Sriram et al and Miller et al, the normalization factor is determined by using a determined variance of noise and/or interference; however, the variance is not defined or specified as mentioned above for the variance of multiple access interference of the claimed invention. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Gonzalez et al in view of Sriram et al and Miller et al, in further view of other prior art of record, for leading such the implementation to the claimed invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,490 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

/Phuong Phu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611